

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,347	01/31/2001	Hideki Kuwajima	YAO-4337US	2586
7590 11/20/2003			EXAMINER	
Mr. Andrew L. Ney			LETSCHER, GEORGE J	
Ratner & Prest	tia			
One Westlakes, Berwyn, Suite 301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980			2653	14
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/774,347	KUWAJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George J. Letscher	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl or within the statutory minimum of thirty ( or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH or cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	anuary 2001 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	. U Al					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 29-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 and 29-43 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
a) I he translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)  .				

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 8/28/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged. Applicant has now canceled claims 2-14 in favor of added new claims 29-43. Claims 1 and 29-43 remain pending in the application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347

Art Unit: 2653

before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 29-34 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT/US98/00464.

The aforementioned claims recite the following features, inter alia, disclosed in PCT/US98/00464: a head support mechanism including: a slider (24) having a magnetic head (not shown); a slider holding plate (70) for holding the slider; a pair of substrates (perpendicular portions 72A, 72B) each having an attached piezoelectric element (74A-D); elastic hinges (arms from 72A & 72B bending towards center of plate 70) for connecting the slider plate and substrates; a dimple (60) for supporting the slider plate such that the slider plate is rotatable in all directions; wherein the slider is rotated in a minutes scale (by virtue of slider movement being rotatable in any scale of measurement) around the dimple by contraction and expansion of at least one of the piezoelectric elements. The substrates are stacked on each respective piezoelectric element, with at least one substrate bent by a bimorph and expansion at one of the piezoelectric elements. The dimple is at an end of the of a load beam. The load beam has a pair of regulation portions (18) regulating rotation of the slider plate. The substrate roots are integral.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347

Art Unit: 2653

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 35-36 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT/US98/00464.

The description of PCT/US98/00464 is in paragraph 2, supra.

Regarding claims 35 and 42, PCT/US98/00464 does not expressly show the substrates and piezoelectric elements are coated with resin.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347

Art Unit: 2653

Official notice is taken of the fact that resin coatings on piezoelectric elements and their substrates was notoriously old and well known at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the disk drive suspension of PCT/US98/00464 with the substrates and piezoelectric elements coated with resin. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have provided the disk drive suspension of PCT/US98/00464 with the substrates and piezoelectric elements coated with resin since one of ordinary skill in the art would have wanted to have protected the elements from stray electromagnetic signals that would have damaged the elements or the head signals.

7. Claims 36 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT/US98/00464 in view of Crane et al (US 6,362,939).

The description of PCT/US98/00464 is in paragraph 2, supra.

Regarding claims 36 and 43, PCT/US98/00464 does not expressly show the substrate portions and elastic hinge portions having a conductor pattern transferring a signal to the head.

Crane et al disclose a microactuator suspension having a conductor pattern from the substrate portions and elastic hinge portions having a

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347 Page 6

Art Unit: 2653

conductor pattern transferring a signal to the head; see Figures 2-3 and 26-27 of Crane et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have furnished the disk drive suspension having substrate portions and elastic hinge portions of PCT/US98/00464 with the substrate portions and elastic hinge portions having a conductor pattern transferring a signal to the head as taught by Crane et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have furnished the disk drive suspension having substrate portions and elastic hinge portions of PCT/US98/00464 with the substrate portions and elastic hinge portions having a conductor pattern transferring a signal to the head as taught by Crane et al so that the signals between the head and the piezoelectric materials would have been coordinated for optimum performance of the suspension.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,347

Art Unit: 2653

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Letscher whose telephone number is (703) 305-7912.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

George Letscher November 18, 2003

> George Letscher Primary Examiner AU 2653